# For the Northern District of California

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	١

SERGIO MARCOS MIRANDA,	) No. C 12-02483 EJD (PR)
Petitioner,	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
vs.	)
TOM VIRGA, Warden,	
Respondent.	
	<u> </u>

Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, filed a petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254, challenging his state conviction. Petitioner has paid the filing fee. (See Docket No. 5.)

# **BACKGROUND**

According to the petition, Petitioner was found guilty by a jury in Solano County Superior Court of two counts of murder and three counts of attempted murder, along with a gang enhancement and special circumstances. (Pet. at 2.) Petitioner was sentenced in April 2009, to 176 years to life in state prison. (Id.)

Petitioner appealed his conviction, and the state appellate court affirmed. (Id. at 3.) The state high court denied review. (Id.)

Order to Show Cause G:\PRO-SE\SJ.EJD\HC.12\02483Miranda\_osc.wpd 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Petitioner filed the instant federal habeas petition on May 16, 2012.

### **DISCUSSION**

#### Standard of Review Α.

This court may entertain a petition for a writ of habeas corpus "in behalf of a person in custody pursuant to the judgment of a State court only on the ground that he is in custody in violation of the Constitution or laws or treaties of the United States." 28 U.S.C. § 2254(a).

It shall "award the writ or issue an order directing the respondent to show cause why the writ should not be granted, unless it appears from the application that the applicant or person detained is not entitled thereto." <u>Id.</u> § 2243.

#### В. Legal Claims

Petitioner claims the following as grounds for federal habeas relief: (1) there was insufficient evidence to support the attempted murder convictions for two of the victims; (2) the jury was improperly instructed on the "kill zone" theory of liability; and (3) Petitioner's joint trial was rendered fundamentally unfair by the admission into evidence of prejudicial letters written by codefendant. Liberally construed, his claims are cognizable under § 2254 and merit an answer from Respondent.

## **CONCLUSION**

For the foregoing reasons and for good cause shown,

- 1. The Clerk shall serve by certified mail a copy of this order and the petition and all attachments thereto on Respondent and Respondent's attorney, the Attorney General of the State of California. The Clerk also shall serve a copy of this order on Petitioner.
- 2. Respondent shall file with the court and serve on petitioner, within sixty (60) days of the issuance of this order, an answer conforming in all respects to Rule 5 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases, showing cause why a writ of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

habeas corpus should not be issued. Respondent shall file with the answer and serve on Petitioner a copy of all portions of the state trial record that have been transcribed previously and that are relevant to a determination of the issues presented by the petition.

If Petitioner wishes to respond to the answer, he shall do so by filing a traverse with the Court and serving it on Respondent within thirty (30) days of his receipt of the answer.

- 3. Respondent may file a motion to dismiss on procedural grounds in lieu of an answer, as set forth in the Advisory Committee Notes to Rule 4 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases. If Respondent files such a motion, Petitioner shall file with the Court and serve on Respondent an opposition or statement of nonopposition within thirty (30) days of receipt of the motion, and Respondent shall file with the court and serve on Petitioner a reply within **fifteen (15) days** of receipt of any opposition.
- 4. Petitioner is reminded that all communications with the court must be served on Respondent by mailing a true copy of the document to Respondent's counsel. Petitioner must also keep the Court and all parties informed of any change of address.

9/20/2012 DATED:

United States District Judge

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

# FOR THE

# NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SERGIO MARCOS MIRANDA,		Case Number: CV12-02483 EJD	
	Petitioner,	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	
v.			
TIM VIRGA	A, Warden,		
	Respondent.	/	
	igned, hereby certify that I tern District of California.	am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District	
hereinafter li	9/20/2012 placing said copy(ies) in a isted, by depositing said erce delivery receptacle located.	, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) nvelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into ted in the Clerk's office.	
Dated:	9/20/2012	<u> </u>	
		Richard W. Wieking, Clerk /s/By: Elizabeth Garcia, Deputy Clerk	